

Beam Instabilities for the Proton Driver

Alexey Burov
Fermilab

MAP meeting, Fermilab, 28/05/2014

DESIGN OF ACCUMULATOR AND COMPRESSOR RINGS FOR THE PROJECT-X BASED PROTON DRIVER*

Y. Alexahin[#], D. Neuffer FNAL, Batavia, IL 60510 U.S.A.

IPAC'12

Table 1: FMC Accumulator and Compressor Parameters

Parameters	AR	CR
Circumference, m	308.23	308.23
Momentum compaction	-0.052	0.001
Slippage factor	-0.063	-0.01
RF frequency, MHz	3.87	3.87
RF voltage, kV	10	240
Synchrotron tune	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
Peak current, A	100	1040
Final r.m.s. bunch length, ns	29.2	3.2
Final r.m.s. energy spread	$5.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$6.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$
Threshold impedance, Ohm	20	3 \rightarrow 53
R.m.s. emittance, μm	5	5
Space charge tunes, h/v	0.02/0.02	0.14/0.16
Betatron tunes, h/v	7.94/6.91	6.76/8.44

AR, Longitudinal

$$\left. \frac{Z_{\parallel}}{n} \right|_{\text{SC}} = i \frac{Z_0}{\gamma^2} \ln \left(\frac{b}{\sigma_x} \right) \approx 9 \text{ Ohm};$$

Space charge impedance

$$\left. \frac{Z_{\parallel}}{n} \right|_{\text{RW}} = (\text{sgn}(\omega) - i) \frac{Z_0}{2} \frac{\delta(|n\omega_0|)}{b}; \quad \delta(\omega) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{\text{wall}}\omega}}$$

Resistive wall impedance

$$\left. \frac{\text{Im } Z_{\parallel}}{n} \right|_{\text{RW, single bunch}} \cong -\frac{Z_0}{2} \frac{c}{b\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{\text{wall}}/\sigma_{\tau}}} \approx -0.1 \text{ Ohm}$$

$$\frac{\Delta Q_s}{Q_s} = \frac{Nr_0\eta R_0^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}Q_s^2\gamma\sigma_s^3} \frac{\text{Im } Z_{\parallel} / n}{Z_0}$$

Relative synchrotron tune shift [2]

AR, Longitudinal results

With $N = 4.5 \cdot 10^{13}$, aperture radius $b = 4.8 \text{ cm}$, this yields $\Delta Q_s / Q_s = -0.34$,

Meaning possible **mismatch and loss of Landau damping**.

However, with copper wall, the CB growth rate is too low:

$$\tau_{\parallel}^{-1} = \frac{Nr_0\eta R_0 c}{8Q_s \gamma b d^{5/2}} \sqrt{\frac{c}{\sigma_{\text{wall}}}} \Rightarrow \tau_{\parallel} = 30 \text{ s}$$

Ref [3]

Thus, **the only possible longitudinal problem is the mismatch**.

AR, Transverse

$$\Delta Q_{sc} = 0.018$$

SC tune shift

$$q_{sc} = \Delta Q_{sc} / (2Q_s) = 42 \gg 1$$

SC parameter

$$\tau_{SB}^{-1} \cong 0.1 \frac{Nr_0 R_0 c}{\pi Q_x \gamma b^3 \sqrt{\sigma_\tau \sigma_{wall}}} \Rightarrow \tau_{SB}^{-1} = 30 \text{s}^{-1}$$

Single bunch growth rate

$$\tau_{CB}^{-1} = \frac{M N r_0 c^2}{2\pi \gamma Q_x b^3 \sqrt{2\pi \sigma_{wall} \omega_0 (1 - \{Q_x\})}} \Rightarrow \tau_{CB}^{-1} = 400 \text{s}^{-1}$$

Coupled bunch growth rate

$$k_{mode} \cong 1.5 \chi = 1.5 \xi (\Delta p / p) / Q_s$$

Lowest SB number of unstable modes

$$\xi_{th} \cong \frac{Q_s q_{sc}^{3/4}}{\sigma_{\Delta p/p} (\omega_s \tau_{CB})^{1/4}} \approx 7; \quad \xi_{th} \sigma_{\Delta p/p} \approx 0.0035$$

Threshold chromaticity, Ref. [5]

CR, Longitudinal

$$\left. \frac{Z_{\parallel}}{n} \right|_{\text{SC}} = i \frac{Z_0}{\gamma^2} \ln \left(\frac{b}{\sigma_x} \right) \approx 9 \text{ Ohm};$$

Space charge impedance

$$\left. \frac{Z_{\parallel}}{n} \right|_{\text{RW}} = (\text{sgn}(\omega) - i) \frac{Z_0}{2} \frac{\delta(|n\omega_0|)}{b}; \quad \delta(\omega) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{\text{wall}}\omega}}$$

Resistive wall impedance

$$\left. \frac{\text{Im } Z_{\parallel}}{n} \right|_{\text{RW, single bunch}} \cong -\frac{Z_0}{2} \frac{c}{b\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{\text{wall}}/\sigma_{\tau}}} \approx -0.04 \text{ Ohm}$$

$$D \equiv \frac{V_{\text{SC}}}{V_{\text{RF}}} \approx \frac{2\pi N r_0 R_0^2 \ln(b/\sigma_x) mc^2}{\gamma^2 \sigma_s^3 h_{\text{RF}} V_0}$$

Longitudinal space charge parameter

$$D \equiv \frac{V_{\text{SC}}}{V_{\text{RF}}} \approx 30.$$

Cannot be done!

CR, Longitudinal, results

- The big problem:

$$D \equiv \frac{V_{SC}}{V_{RF}} \approx 30.$$

- This means the bunch cannot be compressed below

$$\sigma_{s0} D^{1/3} \approx 3\sigma_{s0} = 10\text{ns.}$$

Ref. [4]

- For a negative slippage, this would result in the instantaneous negative mass instability.
- Conclusion: to have the desired bunch compression in the CR, much higher RF Voltage (~10 times) is required.
- Better, the two-stage compression with increasing RF frequency be applied (Y. Alexahin)

CR, Transverse

$$\Delta Q_{sc} = 0.18$$

SC tune shift

$$q_{sc} = \Delta Q_{sc} / (2Q_s) = 220 \gg 1$$

SC parameter

$$\tau_{SB}^{-1} \cong 0.1 \frac{Nr_0 R_0 c}{\pi Q_x \gamma b^3 \sqrt{\sigma_\tau \sigma_{wall}}} \Rightarrow \tau_{SB}^{-1} = 120 \text{s}^{-1}$$

Single bunch growth rate

$$\tau_{CB}^{-1} = \frac{MNr_0 c^2}{2\pi\gamma Q_x b^3 \sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{wall}} \omega_0 (1 - \{Q_x\})} \Rightarrow \tau_{CB}^{-1} = 270 \text{s}^{-1}$$

Coupled bunch growth rate

$$k_{mode} \cong 1.5\chi = 1.5\xi(\Delta p/p)/Q_s$$

Lowest SB number of Z-unstable mode

$$\xi_{th} \cong \frac{Q_s q_{sc}^{3/4}}{\sigma_{\Delta p/p} (\omega_s \tau_{CB})^{1/4}} \approx 2.5; \quad \xi_{th} \sigma_{\Delta p/p} \approx 0.017$$

Threshold chromaticity

Summary

Transversely, the beams can be stabilized with a sufficiently low chromaticity.

Longitudinally, in AR there is a moderate mismatch problem.

In CR, the RF focusing has to be significantly (~15-30 times) increased.

References

- [1] Y. Alexahin, D. Neuffer, IPAC'12
- [2] A. Chao, "Physics of collective beam instabilities in high energy accelerators", Eq. (6.58), p. 291, J. Wiley & Sons, 1993.
- [3] Ibid, Eq. (4.124), p. 210
- [4] Ibid, Eq. (6.43), p. 285
- [5] A. Burov, "Head-tail modes for strong space charge", PRST-AB, 12, 044202 (2009)

Many thanks!

